Organization and Completion of a CAMPEP Self Study

Peter Dunscombe, Ph.D.
University of Calgary/
Tom Baker Cancer Centre

AAPM Sponsored Residency Training Program Workshop

Hyatt Regency, Dallas/Fort Worth

7th February 2009





Canada



Tom Baker Cancer Centre Radiation Treatment Program

- 3,000 courses per year.
- 10 megavoltage units.
- SRS/T, TBI, HDR gyne, LDR prostate, IMRT, IGRT.
- 150 program staff.

Tom Baker Cancer Centre Department of Medical Physics

- 11 medical physicists.
- 20 planning, sim. and mould therapists.
- 8 engineering and IT staff.
- 5 admin and clerical.
- 9 graduate students
- 2.5 residents

Pre-amble

- 1. The Tom Baker Cancer Centre Radiation Oncology Physics Residency Program was accredited in 2005
- 2. The Program has evolved since then.
- 3. In particular completion of the program can result in the award of a University of Calgary Post Ph.D. Diploma

Pre-amble

- 4. All components of the Program are listed university courses
- 5. 2.5 residents currently in the program
- 6. The Post Ph.D. Diploma includes two MBA courses

Disclaimer

Following the advice and suggestions offered in these presentations or the Calgary Residents Handbook does not constitute a guarantee that your program will be granted CAMPEP accreditation.

Self Study Sections I,II and III

- I. Goals and Objectives.
- II. Evolution and History.
- III. Structure and Governance.

- To be accredited, the medical physics residency program objective shall be clinical training and education in one of the three main specialties....
- ...to prepare an individual to practise a medical physics specialty independently

Reviewers say:

- "If you say you are interested in CAMPEP graduates for your program, this has to be reflected through the self study."
- "If the development of communication and interpersonal skills is a feature of program you could state it here."

Things to think about:

- What's the difference between a Goal and an Objective?
- Do you know?
- Does CAMPEP know?

Things to think about:

Possible Goals might include:

- 1. Clinical training and education in the specialty of radiation oncology physics.
- 2. To prepare an individual to practise independently...

(Be careful. Are you saying you would trust them completely at the end of your program?)

Things to think about:

Possible Objectives might include:

- 1. Demonstrated competence in the full range of therapeutic applications of ionizing radiation in medicine.
- 2. Comprehensive preparation for the ABR exam.

Things to think about:

Possible Objectives might include:

- 3. Development and evaluation of teaching skills.
- 4. Maintenance of CAMPEP accreditation.

Things to think about:

- If you say it, you had better be doing it.
- Make sure the faculty have understood and agreed to your stated Goals and Objectives.
- Make sure the residents understand the Goals and Objectives of the Program.

- A brief history of the program's evolution including faculty, staff and residents....
- Moreover, program development will produce an evolution in the depth and breadth of training.

Reviewers say:

- "How many residents have completed the program as described?"
- "What does part time resident mean?"

Things to think about:

- By all means list the medical physics glitterati that have passed through your institution.
- But, be very clear about when the Program you are putting forward was actually instituted.

Things to think about:

- How the prominence of the research component may or may not have decreased.
- Be straightforward about why you are bothering to get your Program accredited.
- Perhaps include the changing environment in medicine generally and your institution in particular.

- The accreditation review will assess the stability and continuity of the organizational structure...
- The relationship to clinically oriented programs...
- Give an overview...

- The position of...the program within the organizational chart
- Collaborative arrangements...shall be specified
- The program...shall be headed by a program director responsible...

Reviewers say:

- "Seek recognition of the program outside the clinical department"
- "Consider the membership of the program committee"
- "Is there an effective mechanism for input from the residents?"

Reviewers say:

- "What are the links with the RO residency program?"
- "Is there an associate program director?"

Things to think about:

- Include a clear organizational chart including the program within the hospital and university.
- Include the reporting relationships of the program director.
- If there is no local RT training and/or RO residency program explain how interactions with RTs and ROs are facilitated.

Things to think about:

- If the program depends on collaborations outside the primary department, be clear how these are organized.
- Besides Structure and Governance this section requires a general overview of the program.
- Make it an overview (orientation), don't include details but refer to subsequent sections.

Things to think about:

• Is there a requirement or expectation that faculty maintain and upgrade their teaching skills?

(not a CAMPEP expectation but perhaps it should be)

Self Study Section IV

A Requirements for successful program completion

B Design and Content

C Sample Training Plans

D Evaluation of the Curriculum

- 2 years of clinical training
- Describe the training schedule
- What are the expectations of clinical performance?
- What are the pass/fail criteria?

- Research expectations must not compromise the 2 clinical years.
- How do you deal with poor performance?
- Non-CAMPEP graduates must receive remediation without compromising clinical training

- There should be < 1 remedial course per semester
- Remediation must be at Report 79 level

Reviewers say:

- "Are the levels of the required courses appropriate for medical physics residents?"
- "Is credit given for previously completed CAMPEP graduate courses?"
- "Is there a rapid and effective mechanism for feedback to the residents?"

Reviewers say:

- "At oral exams, for example, are more than two examiners present?"
- "What remedial measures are in place for poorly performing residents?"
- "Is a record of attendance maintained for educational events such as rounds?"

Reviewers say:

• "Do you really expect a resident to complete the requirements of Report 79 and 90 within two years?"

Things to think about:

- You could use this component of the self study to provide a general overview of the clinical training and evaluation and refer to later sections for more details.
- If you introduce a program feature here but don't describe it fully you might get reviewers' comments

Things to think about:

For example, make brief statements covering:

- 2 years of clinical training
- What are the expectations of clinical performance?
- What are the pass/fail criteria?

Things to think about:

For example, make brief statements covering:

- Research expectations must not compromise the 2 clinical years.
- Non-CAMPEP graduates must receive remediation without compromising two years clinical training
- How do you decide on the quantity and quality of remediation.

- The program should follow the spirit of Report 90
- Describe the clinical training rotations and detailed training objectives

- List clinical conferences, seminars, etc with frequency
- State attendance rate expected and consequences for poor attendance

- The program should require the residents to teach formal classes
- Specify how much time is spent learning and how much doing

- "How is the level of knowledge required by Report 79 acquired by a non-CAMPEP graduate?"
- "Is the exposure to and mentoring during treatment planning adequate?"

- "Are diagnostic imaging and nuclear medicine adequately covered?"
- "What about bio-ethics and clinical trials?"

- "How are professional topics, e.g. code of ethics, covered?"
- "Are courses aimed at RTs and RO residents really adequate?"

- "Clarify who the courses are aimed at?"
- "Are the courses in the university calendar and, if so, which faculty?"

- "What attendance rate at clinical conferences, etc is expected?"
- "Are the courses classroom or guided reading or both?"
- "How much time is spent learning and how much time doing?"

Things to think about:

• Be very clear how the two years of clinical training is accomplished when:

there are research expectations and

Report 79 remediation is required

 Describe how the resident is informed of these issues

- You must convince the reviewers that the level of remediation is appropriate. RT and RO resident classes on their own don't cut it.
- Describe how you evaluate compliance of the level of residents knowledge with Report 79

- Do you encourage or expect your residents to take Instructional Skills Workshops?
- Do you encourage or expect your residents to hone their soft skills, e.g. leadership, communication, through taking courses?

- Describe in detail training objectives and experience of each clinical rotation
- Describe how residents' progress is evaluated and documented
- Provide details of didactic education

- If self study is used as part of the program it must be described in detail
- Include training schedule that is given to incoming residents
- The role of affiliated institutions should be described

- "Is there a requirement for the residents to maintain a log of activities?"
- "How much contact time is there with the faculty?"
- "How does the level of responsibility of the resident increase with progress through the program?"

- "Are there research expectations of the residents?"
- "How much interaction is there with Radiation Oncologists?"

- Provide a chronology of the two year program
- Develop an academic plan customized to each entering resident
- Describe how you determine the level of any remediation required for non-CAMPEP graduates

IV D. Curriculum Evaluation

- Describe how training objectives are developed or modified.
- Rotations should be evaluated by both residents and staff
- Residents should be warned that program enhancements may be made

IV D. Curriculum Evaluation

- "Is documentation adequate to evaluate the curriculum?"
- "Is there a mechanism for residents' input?"
- "How is anonymity maintained when soliciting resident feedback?"

IV D. Curriculum Evaluation

- Is the program evaluated through the university
- What is the mechanism for changing the curriculum
- Present an example of how the program has changed as a result of curriculum evaluation

AAPM/CAMPEP Workshop

